### Exotic decay modes of medium-mass proton drip-line nuclei

Aleksandra Ciemny June 9<sup>th</sup> 2022

## Outline

- Introduction
- Experimental technique
  - General experiment's principle
  - Detector: Optical Time Projection Chamber
- Study of neutron-deficient Ge and Zn isotopes at MSU
- Study of <sup>22,23</sup>Si at TAMU
- Summary  $\bullet$

### Introduction

### Introduction - nuclei close to the proton drip-line



- Increasing β decay energy
- Decreasing separation energies Sp, S2p etc.



- Population of highly excited (particle unbound) states in daughter nuclei
- β-delayed (multi-) particle emission (βp, β2p etc.)



https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat3/

- Highly competitive to deexcitation via y-emission
- Has to be taken into account while studying β-decay strength (B) distribution of highenergy, unbound states for a complete picture of the nuclear structure









### Check of nuclear structure and interaction models far from stability



Competition between β-decay and particle capture shapes the rp-process path

### Input for the astrophysical rp-process modeling

Abundance of the elements in the Universe

## Experimental technique



- Production in projectile fragmentation reaction with thin target In-flight separation in fragment separator
- Ion-by-ion identification —> trigger
- Implantation into Optical Time Projection Chamber
- Detection of decays within active volume of the detector

### **Detection: Optical Time Projection Chamber**

- Developed in mid 2000s at the Faculty of Physics, UW
- Study of 2p radioactivity in <sup>45</sup>Fe
- Successfully used for investigation of rare decay modes since then



<sup>45</sup>Fe 2p

K. Miernik et al., PRL 99, 192501 (2007)

K. Miernik et al., Phys. Rev. C 76, 041304(R) (2007)

<sup>45</sup>Fe β3p

<sup>43</sup>Cr β3p



M. Pomorski et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 014306 (2011)

e  $( \cdot )$ 

A. A. Lis et al., Phys. Rev. C 91, 064309 (2015)

<sup>31</sup>Ar β3p



### **Detection: Optical Time Projection Chamber**

- Active volume 33 cm x 20 cm x 21 cm
- Filled with gas mixture at atmospheric pressure immersed in vertical E
- Ion implantation and decay
- Electrons along the trajectories drift in E with Vdrift "downwards"
- Gating electrode (G) allows for "dual sensitivity mode" = detecting of both ion and proton
- Stack of GEM foils electrons multiplication (~10x on each foil)
- HV between GEM foils and anode (A) secondary ionisation, light emission
- CCD camera and photomultiplier tube (PMT) mounted below the polycarbonate window





### **Detection: Optical Time Projection Chamber**





0 23.662 23.6	64

- Reconstruction of 3D trajectory:
  - CCD camera = x-y plane
  - PMT signal = light in time
  - With known v<sub>drift</sub> (typically 1-1.2 cm/µs) - z-coordinate
  - Range in gas depends on energy
  - Fitting of the Bragg curve shape

## OTPC's extended exposure mode

- No trigger: CCD camera running continuously frames ("implantation gate", few tens of ms)
- Trigger: current frame extended by an observation-window time ("decay gate", a few T<sub>1/2</sub> long)



## Study of neutron-deficient Ge and Zn isotopes at MSU

# Region around 60Ge

- Region interesting from the 2p decay stud
- <sup>60</sup>Ge: heaviest semi-magic nucleus with th (<sup>60</sup>Ni) that can be studied.
- Is N=28 still a magic number there?
- High Q<sub>EC</sub> of <sup>59,60</sup>Ge and low separation en energetically possible decay via β-delayed (multi-) proton emission
- <sup>57,58</sup>Zn lying on rp-process path

<b>.</b> .		<b></b>	-					
ay point of view					60As	61As	62A	
he mirror nucleus						p?	p?	p?
					58Ge	59Ge > 360 ns	60Ge > 110 ns	61G 44 n
					2P ?	2Ρ? ε?	ε εp	ε = 100 εp ≈ 62
				56Ga	57Ga	58Ga	59Ga	60G 70 n
nergies in <sup>59,60</sup> Ga:				p?	p?	p?	p?	ε = 100 εp = 1. εα < 0.
54Zn 1.59 ms			55Zn 19.8 ms	56Zn 30.0 ms	57Zn 38 ms	58Zn 86 ms	59Z 182.0	
2P -		2P = 92.00%	ε = 100.00% εp = 91.00%	ε = 100.00% εp = 86.00%	ε = 100.00% εp ≈ 65.00%	ε = 100.00% εp < 3.00%	ε = 100. εp = 0.	
		52Cu	53Cu < 300 ns	54Cu < 75 ns	55Cu 27 ms	56Cu 93 ms	57Cu 196.3 ms	58C 3.204
		р	ε p	p	ε? εp?	ε = 100.00% εp = 0.40%	ε = 100.00%	ε = 100.
	50Ni 18.5 ms	51Ni 23.8 ms	52Ni 40.8 ms	53Ni 55.2 ms	54Ni 114.2 ms	55Ni 204.7 ms	56Ni 6.075 d	57N 35.60
28-	ε = 100.00% εp = 86.70% ε2Ρ	εp = 87.20% ε	ε = 100.00% εp = 31.40%	ε = 100.00% εp = 23.40%	ε = 100.00%	ε = 100.00%	ε = 100.00%	ε = 100.
-	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Neutron (N) #							-	



https://www.nndc.bnl.g ov/nudat3/

### Previous studies: 60Ge

- Discovered in 2005 at NSCL by Stolz et al. (78Kr beam on Be target)
  - 3 ions identified directly on basis of ToF and  $\Delta E$
  - No decay observed only lower limit on half-life estimated  $T_{1/2} > 110$  ns.
  - Measured cross-section found smaller than predictions (abrasion-ablation model and EPAX2 parametrization)
    - possible reason: very short T<sub>1/2</sub>
    - σ for less exotic Ge isotopes also lower than predictions (smaller discrepancy)

A. Stolz et al., Phys. Lett. B 627 (2005) 32-37

- Investigated shortly after at GANIL by Blank et al. (<sup>70</sup>Ge beam on Ni target)
  - 4 ions identified, no decay data
  - Measured cross-sections higher than previously, but still lower than predictions



### What about <sup>59</sup>Ge?

- Not identified before
- Properties predicted theoretically:
  - $S_p = 0.19(14)$  MeV,  $S_{2p} = -1.16(14)$  MeV diproton emission"

B. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 045802

of the decay energies: <sup>58</sup>Ge predicted to be the heaviest 2p-decay candidate L. V. Grigorenko and M. V. Zhukov, Phys. Rev. C 68, 054005 (2003)

### <sup>59</sup>Ge listed as one of "the most promising candidates for the illusive

Three-body core+p+p model calculations of half-lifes as a function

### Previous studies: 58Zn

- Produced and identified for the first at the Los Alamos Meson Physics Faculty in late '80s
  - The heaviest  $T_z = -1$  nucleus studied until that time
  - Mass measurement performed K. K. Seth et al., Phys. Lett. B 173, 397-399 (1986)
- β decay study: ISOLDE, late '90s
  - Detection setup: HPGe detector for  $\gamma$  ray measurement, β telescope and a charged particle detector
  - $T_{1/2} = 86(16)$  ms measured
  - B(GT) to an excited state at 1051 keV
  - Upper limit on  $\beta p$  branching ratio:  $b_{\beta p} < 3\%$ A. Jokinen et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 3, 271-276 (1998)
- Further research performed recently at GANIL
  - Neither new  $\gamma$  transitions nor  $\beta p$  observed
  - Half-life:  $T_{1/2} = 86(2)$
  - Absolute B(F) and B(GT) calculated
    - L. Kucuk et al., Eur. Phys. J. A (2017) 53: 134



L. Kucuk et al., Eur. Phys. J. A (2017) 53: 134

### Experimental details: MSU experiment

- National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, MSU
- Fragmentation reaction of a <sup>78</sup>Kr beam (150 AMeV) on a 200 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Be target
- A1900 separator (ion optics set individually for each of <sup>59-62</sup>Ge)
- Ion-by-ion identification (ToF1,2 vs ΔE)
- Ions implanted into OTPC detector filled with 49.5% He + 49.5% Ar + 1% CO<sub>2</sub>



### Experimental details: MSU experiment

- Two independent data acquisition systems (both time-stamped):
  - DAQ1:
    - Running continuously, all ions
    - ΔE, ToF1 and ToF2 (time-to-amplitude converter)
  - Hardware gates on ∆E and ToF1 → trigger (beam off)
  - DAQ2:
    - Triggering ions only
    - PMT waveform
    - CCD image
    - Waveforms of  $\Delta E$  signal from Si detector, and of both ToF1 and ToF2







- No implantation into OTPC detector





### Results: Ge isotopes production cross-sections

- Smooth trend as a function of mass
- Z=60:
  - No kink (no in-flight loss of <sup>60</sup>Ge)
  - 4x larger σ than previous A1900 experiment (Stolz et al.)
  - Still 2x lower than Blank et al.
  - <sup>70</sup>Ge on Ni better choice?
- EPAX3 parametrization:
  - overestimating the σ for production of Ge isotopes (for both beam + target combinations)
  - the more exotic nucleus, the bigger discrepancy



### Results: <sup>60</sup>Ge

- 41 events identified by the OTPC DAQ, 28 implanted into the OTPC active volume
- 19 decays observed, 11 protons stopped inside the chamber
- All of protons emitted "downwards" or nearly horizontal (due to the drift of the ions towards cathode)
- b<sub>βp</sub> ≈ 100%
- $T_{1/2} = 20^{+7}_{-5}$  ms



A. A. Ciemny et al., Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 89



### Results: 58Zn

- First observation of βp from <sup>58</sup>Zn
  - Over 36k implanted ions
  - 88 protons detected
  - 33 stopped within active volume of the detector
  - Branching ratio  $b_{\beta p} = 0.7(1)\%$ (compatible with upper limit of 3% given by Jokinen et al.)

counts/100 keV





### Results: 58Zn

- B(GT) strengths calculated for decay to three low-lying proton- $\bullet$ unbound levels in <sup>58</sup>Cu
- B(GT) comparable to the value of 0.17(3) obtained
- βp emission should not be neglected when looking even when its probability is very small
- Results compared to B(GT) distribution calculated aproach:
  - Spherical solution for <sup>58</sup>Zn (2p above doubly magnetized) Peaks below 2.5 MeV and above 7 MeV
  - Solution for slightly deformed ( $\beta = -0.1$ ) <sup>58</sup>Zn: Enhancement of the B(GT) at  $E_x \approx 5$  MeV P. Sarriguren, Phys. Rev. C 83, 025801 (2011)

by Kucuk et al.				
ng at B(GT),	$\overline{E_x}$ MeV	$egin{array}{c} {f b}_{eta p} \ \% \end{array}$	B(GT)	Ref.
	0 1.051	0 0	0.30(13) 0.17(3)	Kucuk et al Kucuk et al
within QRPA	≈3.75	≥0.06(2)	≥0.015(8)	this work
	≈4.65	0.20(6)	0.13(6)	this work
agic <sup>56</sup> Ni):	≈5.0	0.05(3)	0.05(4)	this work

A. A. Ciemny et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 034305 (2020)



# Study of 22,23Si at TAMU

## Previous studies: <sup>22</sup>Si

- Lightest Si isotope and lightest  $T_z = -3$  nucleus to date
- First identified at GANIL (161 ions) in 1987
- A decade later, <sup>22</sup>Si decay re-investigated at GANIL
  - β-delayed proton emission discovered
    - 4 proton transitions between 1.6 and 2.2 MeV
    - one broad proton distribution around 1 MeV
    - b<sub>βp</sub> ≈ 100%
    - $T_{1/2} = 29(2)$  ms.







B. Blank et al., Phys. Rev. C 54, 572-575 (1996)



### Previous studies: <sup>22</sup>Si

- Recent studies performed at RIBLL1 (Lanzhou, China)
  - Silicon array + HPGe clover detectors (p-γ coincidences)
  - β2p emission from IAS in <sup>22</sup>AI
    - $E_{sum} = 5600 (70) \text{ keV} (b_{\beta 2p} = 0.7(3)\%)$
    - 5 events
  - new 680 keV peak identified in βp spectrum
  - $T_{1/2} = 27.8$  (35) ms
- low-lying states:  $\delta = 209(96)$

J. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 192503 (2020)



Large mirror asymmetry (compared to the mirror <sup>22</sup>O β decay) in <sup>22</sup>Si decay to

- Lightest  $T_z = -5/2$  isotope discovered so far
- First observed at GANIL in 1985 (74 ions) M. Langevin et al., Nucl. Phys. A 455 (1986) 149-157
- First spectroscopy studies also at GANIL 10 years later
  - $\beta p$  (14 transitions) and  $\beta 2 p$  (2) emission identified  $(b_{\beta 2p} = 3.6(4)\%, b_{\beta(p+2p)} \approx 92\%)$
  - $T_{1/2} = 42.3(4)$  ms B. Blank et al., Z. Phys. A 357, 247–254 (1997)
- New study in RIBBL1 both protons and γs
  - New transition added
  - $T_{1/2} = 40.2(19)$  ms Wang et al., Int. J. of Mod. Phys. E 27, No. 2 (2018) 1850014



B. Blank et al., Z. Phys. A 357, 247–254 (1997)

### Previous studies: <sup>23</sup>Si

- Lightest  $T_z = -5/2$  isotope discovered so
- First observed at GANIL in 1985 (74 ions) M. Langevin et al., Nucl. Phys. A 455 (1986) 149-157
- First spectroscopy studies also at GANIL later
  - βp (14 transitions) and β2p (2) emission
     (b<sub>β2p</sub> = 3.6(4)%, b<sub>β(p+2p)</sub> ≈ 92%)
  - $T_{1/2} = 42.3(4)$  ms B. Blank et al., Z. Phys. A 357, 247–254 (1997)
- New study in RIBBL1 both protons and
  - New transition added
  - $T_{1/2} = 40.2(19)$  ms Wang et al., Int. J. of Mod. Phys. E 27, No. 2 (2018) 1850014

far	Blank et al.		Wang et al.		
	$E_p$ [keV]	$\mathrm{br} \ [\%]$	$E_p \ [keV]$	br [%]	decay channel
)	600(60)	< 3	673(36)	2.4(1)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
	1320(40)	10(1)	1346(39)	5.1(4)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
4 0	1700(60)	< 5	1631(46	4.6(6)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
10 years	2400(40)	32(2)	2309(41)	21(2)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
	2830(60)	14(1)	2730(43)	9.6(1)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
	3040(60)	7.8(6)	3015(45)	8.9(5)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
nidentified	3650(60)	7.2(6)	3524(65)	8.0(5)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
			3811(51)	6.2(1)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
	4370(60)	2.0(2)	4134(52)	5.0(1)	$eta { m p}$
	4760(60)	2.7(2)	4799(56)	2(1)	$eta \mathrm{p}$
	5860(100)	1.9(2)	5857(66)	0.9(9)	$eta 2 \mathrm{p}$
	6180(100)	1.7(2)	6000(64)	0.6(6)	$eta 2 \mathrm{p}$
γ5	8680(70)	0.4(1)			$eta { m p}$
	9670(70)	0.11(4)			$eta \mathrm{p}$
	10410(70)	0.07(3)			$eta_{ m p}$
	10930(80)	0.09(3)			$eta \mathrm{p}$
	11620(100	0.03(2)	•		$eta \mathrm{p}$

### Previous studies: <sup>23</sup>Si



### Experimental details: TAMU experiment

- $^{22,23}$ Si ions produced in fragmentation reaction of  $^{28}$ Si beam (45 AMeV) on 150  $\mu$ m Ni
- Separation of reaction products by means of MARS separator
- During tuning phase:
  - 300  $\mu m$  thick Si detector (segmented along y-axis) at the focal plane
  - Ion-by-ion ΔE-y identification (MARS vertically dispersive)
  - Slits used to cut off most of the contaminants



### Experimental details: TAMU experiment

- During data-taking diagnostic Si detector removed
- Ions implanted into active volume of the OTPC detector (69% He + 29% Ar + 2% CF<sub>4</sub>)
- Gate on ∆E signal from another
   Si detector → trigger (beam off)
- Identification:
  - ΔE from Si detector
  - lons range in OTPC gas







- 120 identified <sup>22</sup>Si ions, 60 implanted correctly



### Results: 23Si

- Almost 7.5 k <sup>23</sup>Si ions implanted into the active volume of the OTPC
- Confirmation of previously known channels:
  - $b_{\beta p} = 81.8(11)\%$  (in agreement with 92% by Blank et al.)
  - $b_{\beta 2p} = 7.7(4)\%$
- $T_{1/2} = 46(1) \text{ ms}$



missing 10% might be due to decay to the ground state in <sup>23</sup>Al



### Results: βp emission from <sup>23</sup>Si



Literature					
Proton	energy	Branching			
Blank et al.	Wang et al.	Blank et al.	Wang et al.		
$(\mathrm{keV})$	$(\mathrm{keV})$				
—	_				
600(60)	673(36)	<3~%	2.4(1) %		
1320(40)	1346(39)	10(1) %	5.1(4) %		
1700(60)	1631(46)	<5%	4.6(6) %		
2400(40)	2309(41)	32(2)~%	21(2)~%		

## <sup>23</sup>Si β decay - DFT-NCCI calculations

- β decay of <sup>23</sup>Si investigated using shell-model approach, sufficiently accurate description of the low-lying spectrum of <sup>23</sup>Al
   B. Blank et al., Zeitschrift für Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei 357, 247 (1997)
- DFT-rooted No-Core Configuration Interaction model: W. Satuła, P. Bączyk, J. Dobaczewski, and M. Konieczka, Phys. Rev. C 94, 024306 (2016)
  - Extension of conventional density functional theory
  - Treating properly isospin and rotational symmetries
  - Global model applicable to a broad range of nuclei (cannot compete with fine-tuned SM)
  - AIM: validating of the new approach in a nucleus where benchmark SM results exist (no local adjustment of underlying functional's parameters)
- Configuration space:
  - g.s. and two lowest particle-hole configurations in <sup>23</sup>Si
  - g.s. and 13 excited configurations in the daughter nucleus <sup>23</sup>Al

### <sup>23</sup>Si β decay - DFT-NCCI calculations

- (Above 3.5 spectrum incomplete due to limitations in the size of the adopted configuration space)
- At low energies well compatible with SM results
- Decay to IAS dominates ( $|M_F| \approx \sqrt{4.9}$  and  $|M_{GT}| \approx 1.5$ )
- GT decay to the lowest-lying states: |M<sub>GT</sub>| well below unity
- Due to shape difference predicted for <sup>23</sup>Si (weakly deformed oblate) and <sup>23</sup>Al (well deformed prolate) Calculated mean quadrupole deformation parameters:
  - $\beta_2=0.090$ ,  $\gamma=60^{\circ}$  for the g.s. configuration in <sup>23</sup>Si
  - $\beta_2=0.345$ ,  $\gamma=0^\circ$  for the g.s. configuration in <sup>23</sup>Al.



Relatively well converged solutions for ground states, excited states in <sup>23</sup>Al below 3.5 MeV and IAS in <sup>23</sup>Al

## Results: β2p emission from <sup>23</sup>Si

- 553 identified events  $\bullet$
- Total branching ratio:  $\bullet$  $b_{\beta 2p} = 7.73(35)\%$
- Both protons stopped lacksquarein the OTPC: 22 events
- "peak" around 2.7 MeV?
- Opening angle distribution:  $\bullet$ sequential emission

Blank et al.		Wang et	al.	
$E_p ~[keV]$	$\mathrm{br}\;[\%]$	$\rm E_p \ [keV]$	br [%]	decay channel
5860(100)	1.9(2)	5857(66)	0.9(9)	$eta 2 { m p}$
6180(100)	1.7(2)	6000(64)	0.6(6)	$eta \mathbf{2p}$



### Results: <sup>23</sup>Si - new decay channels

- Energy window for decay via IAS:  $E_{IAS} - S_{3p} = 3.7(6) \text{ MeV}$
- β3p emission identified
- 2 events,  $b_{\beta 3p} = 0.029_{-19}^{+38}$  %
  - E<sub>1</sub> = 3.6(4) MeV
  - E<sub>2</sub> > 2.7(7) MeV



### Results: <sup>23</sup>Si - new decay channels



- Energy window for decay via IAS:  $E_{IAS} - S_{\alpha p} = 3.2(6) \text{ MeV}$
- βpa: tentative identification (one event)
  - Energetically possible
  - Light ratio CCD/PMT + shape
  - $b_{\beta p \alpha} = 0.014_{-12}^{+33} \%$
  - $E_p = 1.6(1)$  MeV,  $E_a = 1.2(4)$  MeV
  - β2p scenario: 1.6(1) and 0.4(2) MeV

Two experiments performed with OTPC:

- Ge and Zn isotopes (NSCL, MSU) ullet
  - New isotope <sup>59</sup>Ge identified
  - $\beta$  decay of <sup>60</sup>Ge studied for the first time (b<sub>βp</sub>  $\approx$  100%)
  - βp from <sup>58</sup>Zn observed for the first time, non-negligible B(GT) despite small b
  - Cross-sections for production of neutron-deficient Ge isotopes<sup>200</sup> lacksquare
- <sup>22,23</sup>Si (TAMU):
  - Known channels βp and β2p in <sup>22,23</sup>Si confirmed
  - New decay modes in <sup>23</sup>Si: β3p and βpa





# Many thanks to...

C. Mazzocchi <sup>1</sup>, D. Bazin <sup>2</sup>, T. Baumann <sup>2</sup>, A. Bezbakh <sup>3</sup>, B.P. Crider <sup>2</sup>, M. Ćwiok <sup>1</sup>,
W. Dominik <sup>1</sup>, A. Fijałkowska <sup>1,4</sup>, T. Ginter <sup>2</sup>, S. Go <sup>5</sup>, R. Grzywacz <sup>5,6</sup>, J. Hooker <sup>7,8</sup>,
C. Hunt <sup>7,8</sup>, Z. Janas <sup>1</sup>, Ł. Janiak <sup>9</sup>, H. Jayatissa <sup>7,8</sup>, G. Kamiński <sup>3,10</sup>, K. Kolos <sup>5</sup>,
A. Korgul <sup>1</sup>, E. Koshchiy <sup>7</sup>, M. Kuich <sup>1</sup>, E. Kwan <sup>2</sup>, S.N. Liddick <sup>2,11</sup>, K. Miernik <sup>1</sup>,
S.V. Paulauskas <sup>2,3</sup>, J. Pereira <sup>2</sup>, M. Pfützner <sup>1</sup>, M. Pomorski <sup>1</sup>, B. Roeder <sup>7</sup>,
G.V. Rogachev <sup>7,8</sup>, K.P. Rykaczewski <sup>6</sup>, A. Saastamoinen <sup>7</sup>, W. Satuła <sup>1</sup>, S. Sharma <sup>1</sup>,
J. Singh <sup>1</sup>, N. Sokołowska <sup>1</sup>, C. Sumithrarachchi <sup>2</sup>, Y. Xiao <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup> National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

<sup>3</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>4</sup> Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

<sup>6</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>7</sup> Cyclotron Institute, Texas A\&M University, College Station, Texas

<sup>8</sup> Department of Physics \& Astronomy, Texas A\&M University, 77843 Texas, USA

9 National Centre for Nuclear Research, Otwock, Świerk, Poland

<sup>10</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, 31-342 Cracow, Poland

<sup>11</sup> Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

...and thank you for your attention!